

# ON SOME PROPERTIES OF PERIODIC SEQUENCES IN ANATOL VIERU'S MODAL THEORY

Moreno Andreatta — Dan T. Vuza

ABSTRACT. Algebraic methods have been currently applied to music in the second half of the twentieth-century (see [M. Andreatta: Group-theoretical Methods applied to Music, unpublished dissertation, 1997], [M. Chemilier: Structure et Méthode algébraiques en informatique Musicale. Thèse de doctorat, L. I. T. P., Institut Blaise Pascal, 1990] and [G. Mazzola et al.: The Topos of Music—Geometric Logic of Concepts, Theory and Performance] for main references). By starting from Anatol Vieru's compositional technique based on finite difference calculus on periodic modal sequences, as it has been introduced in his book [Cartea modurilor, 1 (Le livre des modes, 1). Ed. Muzicala, Bucarest, 1980. Revised ed. The book of modes, 1993], the present essay tries to generalize some properties by means of abstract group theory. Two main classes of periodic sequences are considered: reducible and reproducible sequences, replacing respectively Vieru's modal and irreducible sequences. It turns out that any periodic sequence can be decomposed in a unique way into a reducible and a reproducible component.

## 1. Reducible and reproducible sequences

For any sequence f defined on  $\mathbb{Z}$  taking values in a finite abelian group Gwe define the translated sequence Tf and the sequence of differences Df by

$$Tf(x) = f(x+1), \quad Df(x) = f(x+1) - f(x)$$

The relationship between the translated sequence and the sequence of differences is expressed by the following equation:

$$D=T-1$$
 .

K eywords: reducible, reproducible, rarefied and repeating sequences, decomposition.

 $<sup>2000\ {\</sup>rm M}\ athematics\ {\rm Subject\ Classification:}\ 20{\rm C11},\ 39{\rm A10},\ 39{\rm A70}.$ 

The content of the following paper has been presented by one of the authors at I. R. C. A. M. (Institut de Recherche et de Coordination Acoustique/Musique) during the special session on algebraic formalization of musical structures organized by the Music Representations Team within the context of the Séminaires Entretemps *Musique*, *Mathématiques et Philosophie* (under the direction of G. Assayag, F. Nicolas and G. Mazzola). We want to express our thanks to Charlotte and Florent for helping us in making up this paper.

**DEFINITION 1.** The sequence f is called m-periodic if f(x + m) = f(x) for any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Affirming that f is *m*-periodic, it is equivalent to the relation  $T^m f = f$ . If f is *m*-periodic, then Tf and Df are also *m*-periodic.

**DEFINITION 2.** The sequence f is called *reducible* if an integer  $k \ge 0$  does exist such that  $D^k f = 0$ .

The sequence f is called *reproducible* if an integer  $k \ge 0$  does exist such that  $D^k f = f$ .

By  $\operatorname{Red}(G)$  and  $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$  we will designate respectively the set of reducible and reproducible sequences taking values in G (also called G-valued sequences).

EXAMPLE 1. Example of a reducible sequence. In Anatol Vieru's book [10] a  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ -valued sequence of period 72 is considered. It can be represented by means of 6 lines of 12 elements

(4	1	0	8	8	7	0	6	8	1	4	0
8	11	4	6	0	5	4	4	0	11	8	10
0	9	8	4	4	3	8	2	4	9	0	8
4	7	0	2	8	1	0	0	8	7	4	6
8	5	4	0	0	11	4	10	0	5	8	4
0	3	8	10	4	9	8	8	4	3	0	2).

Any column is a periodic sequence obtained by adding modulo 12 a constant value to a basis element (i.e., the top of the column). By putting the constant value as an index for the basis element, one has the following compact expression

EXAMPLE 2. Example of a reproducible sequence

$$f = (8 \ 11 \ 0 \ 1 \ 4 \ 0),$$
  

$$D^{1} = (3 \ 1 \ 1 \ 3 \ 8 \ 8),$$
  

$$D^{2} = (10 \ 0 \ 2 \ 5 \ 0 \ 7),$$
  

$$D^{3} = (2 \ 2 \ 3 \ 7 \ 7 \ 3),$$
  

$$D^{4} = f.$$

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $d_i$ ,  $0 \le i \le N$ , be some integers such that at least one of them is relatively prime to the number of elements of G. Then, an integer m does exist such that any sequence verifying

$$\sum_{i=0}^N d_i\,T^if=0$$

is m-periodic.

**COROLLARY 2.** Reducibles and reproducibles sequences are periodic.

**THEOREM 3.** All periodic sequence can be decomposed in a unique way

$$f = f_{\mathrm{red}} + f_{\mathrm{rep}} \,, \qquad f_{\mathrm{red}} \in \mathrm{Red}(\mathrm{G}) \,, \ f_{\mathrm{rep}} \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathrm{G}) \,.$$

Proof. Let f be *m*-periodic. Being the collection of sequences *m*-periodic finite, two integers  $k, l \geq 1$  do exist such that  $D^k f = D^{k+l} f$ . By induction on r one has  $D^k f = D^{k+rl} f$ . In the same way it can be shown that two integers  $r, s \geq 1$  may exist such that  $D^{rl} f = D^{(r+s)l} f$ . We put

$$f_{\rm red} = f - D^{rl} f, \qquad f_{\rm rep} = D^{rl} f.$$

It follows that  $D^k(f - D^{rl}f) = 0$ ,  $D^{sl}D^{rl}f = D^{rl}f$ , which means that  $f_{\rm red}$  and  $f_{\rm rep}$  give the needed decomposition.

The unicity comes from the relation  $\operatorname{Red}(G) \cap \operatorname{Rep}(G) = \{0\}.$ 

### 

### **2.** Decomposition of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ into *p*-groups

There is a one-to-one relation between the subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and the family of integers d that divide n by  $1 \leq d \leq n$ , i.e., for any such d we may take the unique subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  with d elements. The latter can be characterized as the set of  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that dz = 0 or, equivalently, as the set  $\frac{n}{d}\mathbb{Z}_n$  of elements having the form  $\frac{n}{d}z$  where z belongs to  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

**DEFINITION 3.** The abelian group G is a direct sum of a family of subgroups  $G_1, \ldots, G_m$  of G if any  $x \in G$  may be decomposed in a unique way into a sum  $x_1 + \cdots + x_m$  with  $x_i \in G_i$  for  $1 \le i \le m$ .

We will put  $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} G_i$ .

**DEFINITION 4.** Let p be a prime number. A finite abelian group is called *p*-group if its cardinality is a power of p.

**THEOREM 4.** Any group  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a direct sum of its *p*-maximals subgroups.

If  $n = \prod_{i=1}^{m} p^{k_i}$  is the decomposition of n into prime factors, the decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  in maximals p-subgroups can be written as follows

$$\mathbb{Z}_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m G_{p^{k_i}}$$

where  $G_{p^{k_i}}$  is the subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  with  $p^{k_i}$  elements. The decomposition of any  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  defines the elements  $\pi_i(z) \in G_{p^{k_i}}$  in a unique way such that  $z = \sum_{i=1}^m \pi_i(z)$ . The arrows  $\pi_i \colon \mathbb{Z}_n \to G_{p^{k_i}}$  are group morphisms.

The  $p_i$ -component  $\pi_i(z)$  of z is the unique element  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  satisfying the relations  $p^{k_i}y = \frac{n}{p^{k_i}}(z-y) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Let  $q_i$  be an integer verifying

$$q_i \frac{n}{p^{k_i}} = 1 \mod p^{k_i}$$

It follows that  $p^{k_i} \frac{n}{p^{k_i}} q_i z = \frac{n}{p^{k_i}} (z - \frac{n}{p^{k_i}} q_i z) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , which means that

$$\pi_i(z) = \frac{n}{p^{k_i}} q_i z \; .$$

EXAMPLE 3. Subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ 

EXAMPLE 4. Decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  into *p*-groups

$$\label{eq:states} \begin{split} \mathbb{Z}_{12} &= G_3 \bigoplus G_4 \,. \\ \hline 0 & 3 & 6 & 9 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 3 & 6 & 9 \\ 4 & 4 & 7 & 10 & 1 \\ 8 & 8 & 11 & 2 & 5 \\ \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} q_3 &= 1 \,, \quad 1 \cdot \frac{12}{3} = 1 \, \bmod 3 \,, \\ q_4 &= -1 \,, \quad -1 \cdot \frac{12}{4} = 1 \, \bmod 4 \,, \\ \pi_3(x) &= \frac{12}{3} \, q_3 x = 4x \,, \quad \pi_4(x) = \frac{12}{4} \, q_4 x = -3x \,. \\ 5 &= 8 + 9 = 4 \cdot 5 - 3 \cdot 5 = 4 \cdot 2 - 3 \cdot 1 \,, \\ 7 &= 4 + 3 = 4 \cdot 7 - 3 \cdot 7 = 4 \cdot 1 - 3 \cdot 3 \,, \\ 11 &= 8 + 3 = 4 \cdot 11 - 3 \cdot 11 = 4 \cdot 2 - 3 \cdot 3 \end{split}$$

**Remark 1.** Many theoretical works ([2], [8] and [10]) have already shown the musical interest of the decomposition of the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  into a direct sum of its maximal *p*-groups from a theoretical, analytical and compositional point of view.

**THEOREM 5.** Let  $\varphi_i \colon \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_{p^{k_i}}$  be the canonic map. The map  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{Z}_n \to \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{Z}_{p^{k_i}}$  defined by

$$\varphi(z) = (\varphi_1(z), \dots, \varphi_m(z))$$

is a ring homomorphism with inverse given by

$$(z_1,\ldots,z_m)\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{n}{p^{k_i}}q_i z_i$$

For any  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -valued sequence f we put  $f_i(x) = \pi_i(f(x))$ . We will say that  $f = \sum_i f_i$  is the decomposition of f corresponding to the decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  in p-groups.

### 3. Characterization of reducible sequences

**PROPOSITION 6.** Let  $f = \sum_j f_j$  be the decomposition of f corresponding to the decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  in p-groups. Then  $f \in \operatorname{Red}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  if and only if  $f_j \in \operatorname{Red}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  for any j.

**THEOREM 7.** Let f be a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -valued sequence. Then f is reducible if and only if it is  $p^m$ -periodic for a given  $m \ge 0$ .

P r o o f . By induction on k. For k = 1 the result comes from the following lemma.

**LEMMA 8.** For any  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -valued sequence f, one has

$$(T-1)^{p^m}f = (T^{p^m}-1)f.$$

Proof. By induction on m one is conducted to the case m = 1.

$$(T-1)^p f = \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^{p-i} C_p^i T^i f.$$

Since p divides any  $C_p^i$  by  $1 \le i \le p-1$  and since pf = 0 it follows that the sum of the right member is reduced to  $T^p f - f$ .

Let now the theorem be true for any  $k_1 < k$ . We designate  $f_1$  the sequence defined by  $f_1(x) = pf(x)$ . It is clear that  $f_1$  takes values in the subgroup  $G_{p^{k-1}}$  with  $p^{k-1}$  elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ , isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{k-1}}$ .

Let f be  $p^m$ -periodic. Then  $f_1$  is also  $p^m$ -periodic, i.e., reducible (induction hypothesis). Therefore, there exists  $l \ge 1$  such that  $D^l f_1 = 0$ . By definition of  $f_1$ , it means that  $p(D^l f)(x) = 0$  for any x, i.e., the sequence  $D^l f$  takes values into the subgroup  $G_p$  with p elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ , isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Since  $D^l f$  is  $p^m$ -periodic, the induction hypothesis leads to the existence of  $l_1 \ge 1$  such that  $D^{l_1}D^l f = 0$ , i.e.,  $D^{l_1+l}f = 0$  and f is reducible.

Let f be reducible. Then  $f_1 \in \operatorname{Red}(G_{p^{k-1}})$  by induction hypothesis it follows that there exists  $m_1 \geq 0$  such that  $f_1$  is  $p^{m_1}$ -periodic. This means that  $f(x) - f(x + p^{m_1}) \in G_p$  for any x. We define the sequence  $f_2$  by  $f_2(x) = f(x) - f(x + p^{m_1})$ . Since  $f_2 \in \operatorname{Red}(G_p)$ , the induction hypothesis guaranties the existence of  $m_2 \geq 0$  such that  $f_2$  is  $p^{m_2}$ -periodic. In short,  $(1 - T^{p_{m_2}})(1 - T^{p_{m_1}})f = 0$ . To conclude we just need the following.

**LEMMA 9.** Let f be a  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -valued sequence such that  $(1 - T^k)(1 - T^l)f = 0$ . Then f is kln-periodic.

Proof. From  $(1 - T^l)f = T^k(1 - T^l)f$  one deduces that  $(1 - T^l)f = T^{ki}(1 - T^l)f$  for any  $i \ge 1$ , and in particular that  $(1 - T^{kl})(1 - T^l)f = 0$ . By the same argument,  $(1 - T^{kl})f = T^{li}(1 - T^{kl})f$  for any  $i \ge 1$ . This means that

$$(1 - T^{kln})f = (1 - (T^{kl})^n)f = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{kli}\right)(1 - T^{kl})f = n(1 - T^{kl})f = 0.$$

**COROLLARY 10.** Red( $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ) is a ring.

**COROLLARY 11.** Let  $f \in \operatorname{Red}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  be such that f(x) is invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  for all x. Then  $f^{-1} \in \operatorname{Red}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ .

**COROLLARY 12.** Let k, l be two integers and let g be defined by g(x) = f(kx+l). If  $f \in \text{Red}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  then  $g \in \text{Red}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ .

### 4. Characterization of reproducible sequences

**PROPOSITION 13.** Let  $f = \sum_{j} f_{j}$  be the decomposition of f corresponding to the decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$  in p-groups. Then  $f \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{n})$  if and only if  $f_{j} \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_{n})$  for any j.

**DEFINITION 5.** For every *m*-periodic sequence f and for every integer d dividing m, we define the *d*-periodised obtained from f as the sequence  $\sum_{i=0}^{m/d-1} T^{id} f$ .

The equation

$$(1 - T^d) \sum_{i=0}^{m/d-1} (T^d)^i f = (1 - (T^d)^{m/d}) f = (1 - T^m) f = 0$$

shows that the d-periodised sequence is indeed a d-periodic sequence.

**THEOREM 14.** Let f be a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -valued m-periodic sequence. Then f is reproducible if and only if the  $p^r$ -periodised of f is zero, where  $p^r$  is the highest power of p that divides m.

Proof. If f is reproducible, then its  $p^r$ -periodised is also reproducible by construction, but also reducible according to Theorem 7, because it is  $p^r$ -periodic. Then the  $p^r$ -periodised is zero, because  $\text{Rep} \cap \text{Red} = \{0\}$ .

Conversely, by supposing that the  $p^r$ -periodised is zero, we may write the decomposition

$$f = f_{\rm red} + f_{\rm rep} \,, \qquad f_{\rm red} \in {\rm Red} \,, \ f_{\rm rep} \in {\rm Rep}$$

According as we have shown, the  $p^r$ -periodised of  $f_{\rm rep}$  is zero. Then the previous equation shows that the  $p^r$ -periodised of  $f_{\rm red}$  must be zero too. Due to Theorem 7,  $f_{\rm red}$  must be  $p^s$ -periodised for a given integer  $s \geq 0$ . Because of the fact that the *m*-periodised of f implies that of  $f_{\rm red}$ , the period of the latter divides m and  $p^s$ , which shows that  $f_{\rm red}$  is in fact  $p^r$ -periodic. As a consequence its  $p^r$ -periodised is equal to  $\frac{m}{p^r}f_{\rm red}$ . Since  $\frac{m}{p^r}\wedge p^k=1$ , the multiplication by  $\frac{m}{p^r}$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ . From  $\frac{m}{p^r}f_{\rm red}=0$  one may conclude that  $f_{\rm red}=0$ .

**COROLLARY 15.** Let f be a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -valued m-periodic sequence and let  $p^r$  be the highest power of p dividing m. Then f is reproducible if and only if the relations  $\frac{m}{p^r-1}$ 

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n/p^{r}-1} f(p^{r}i + x) = 0$$

hold for all x such that  $0 \le x < p^r$ .

# 5. Calculation of reducible and reproducible components of a periodic sequence

**PROPOSITION 16.** Let  $f = \sum_{j} f_{j}$  be the decomposition of the periodic sequence f corresponding to the decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$  in p-groups and let  $f_{j} = f_{j,\text{red}} + f_{j,\text{rep}}$  be the decomposition of  $f_{j}$  in a sum of a reducible and a reproducible sequence. Then the decomposition of f

 $f=f_{\rm red}+f_{\rm rep}\,,\qquad f_{\rm red}\in{\rm Red}\,,\ f_{\rm rep}\in{\rm Rep}\,,$ 

is given by

$$f_{\rm red} = \sum_j f_{j,{\rm red}} \;, \; f_{\rm rep} = \sum_j f_{j,{\rm rep}} \;. \label{eq:fred}$$

**THEOREM 17.** Let f be a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ -valued m-periodic sequence and let  $f_{per}$  be the  $p^r$ -periodised of f, where  $p^r$  is the highest power of p dividing m. Let  $\left(\frac{m}{p^r}\right)^{-1}$  be the inverse of  $\frac{m}{p^r} \mod p^k$ . Then the decomposition of f

$$f = f_{\rm red} + f_{\rm rep} \,, \qquad f_{\rm red} \in {\rm Red} \,, \ f_{\rm rep} \in {\rm Rep} \,,$$

is given by

$$f_{\rm red} = \left(\frac{m}{p^r}\right)^{-1} f_{\rm per}, \qquad f_{\rm rep} = f - \left(\frac{m}{p^r}\right)^{-1} f_{\rm per}$$

Proof. Since  $f_{red}$  is  $p^r$ -periodic by construction, it is reducible according to the Theorem 7. The  $p^r$ -periodised of  $f_{red}$  is zero:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m/p^r-1} T^{ip^r} f_{\rm rep} = \sum_{i=0}^{m/p^r-1} T^{ip^r} f - \left(\frac{m}{p^r}\right) \left(\frac{m}{p^r}\right)^{-1} f_{\rm per} = f_{\rm per} - f_{\rm per} = 0.$$

Due to the Theorem 14,  $f_{rep}$  is reproducible.

**COROLLARY 18.** Let *m* and *n* be two integers such that  $m \wedge n = 1$  and let *f* be a  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -valued *m*-periodic sequence. *f* is reproducible if and only if  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f(i) = 0$ .

### 6. Decomposition algorithm

1. Write the decomposition of n

$$n = \prod_{j=1}^{N} p_j^{k_j}$$

into prime factors.

2. Find the integers  $q_i$  such that

$$q_j \frac{n}{p_j^{k_j}} = 1 \mod p_j^{k_j}$$

- 3. For all j build the sequences  $f_{j,red}$  and  $f_{j,rep}$  as follows.
- $4. \ {\rm Set} \ f_j(x) = \varphi_j \bigl( f(x) \bigr) \,, \, {\rm where} \ \varphi_j \colon \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_{p_j^{k_j}} \ {\rm is \ the \ canonic \ map}.$
- 5. Let  $p_j^{r_j}$  be the highest power of  $p_j$  dividing the period m of f. Determine the inverse  $\left(\frac{m}{p_j^{r_j}}\right)^{-1}$  of  $\frac{m}{p_j^{r_j}} \mod p_j^{k_j}$ .
- 6. Write down the *m* elements of the period of  $f_j$  as a table with  $\frac{m}{p_j^{r_j}}$  lines and  $p_j^{r_j}$  columns (if  $r_j = 0$ , the table will have an unique column). Add a line given by the elements of any column and by multiplying by  $\left(\frac{m}{p_j^{r_j}}\right)^{-1}$ module  $p_j^{k_j}$ .
- 7. Let  $f_{j,\text{red}}$  be the  $p_j^{r_j}$ -periodic sequence defined by the line built at the previous step and build  $f_{j,\text{rep}} = f_j f_{j,\text{red}}$ .
- 8. By setting

$$f_{\rm red} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_j \frac{n}{p_j^{k_j}} f_{j,\rm red} , \qquad f_{\rm rep} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_j \frac{n}{p_j^{k_j}} f_{j,\rm rep} .$$

we have the decomposition of any periodic sequence in a reducible and reproducible component.

EXAMPLE 5. Decomposition in reducible and reproducible components. Let f be the following  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ -valued sequence.

$$f = (0 \ 0 \ 7 \ 7 \ 4 \ 4 \ 3 \ 3 \ 4 \ 4 \ 7 \ 7)$$

The sequences corresponding to the decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}=G_3 \bigoplus G_4$  are respectively

MORENO ANDREALIA — DAN I. VUZA

							0	0	3	3			
							0	0	3	3			
							0	0	3	3			
							0	0	1	1			
			÷	3  mc	d 4		0	0	3	3			
			$\times$ –	3  m	od 12	2	0	0	3	3			
	8	8	4	8	8	4	ŝ	8	8	4	8	8	4
	0	0	3	<b>3</b>	0	0		3	3	0	0	3	3
$f_{\rm red}$	8	8	7	11	8	4	1	1	11	4	8	11	7
$f_{\rm rep}$	4	4	0	8	8	0		4	4	0	8	8	0

## 7. The sets of values of reducible and reproducible sequences

**THEOREM 19.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n = \bigoplus_j G_j$  be the decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  in *p*-groups. A subset M of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is the set of values of a reducible sequence if and only if two subsets  $M_j \subset G_j$  do exist such that  $M = \sum_j M_j$ .

This theorem is a direct consequence of the the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 20.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n = \bigoplus_j G_j$  be the decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  in *p*-groups and let  $f = \sum_j f_j$  be the decomposition of the reducible sequence f corresponding to the decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Then  $f(\mathbb{Z}) = \sum_j f_j(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Proof. Clearly  $f(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \sum_j f_j(\mathbb{Z})$ . On the other hand, let  $y_j = f_j(x_j) \in f_j(\mathbb{Z})$  and, for all integer  $p_j$  dividing n, let  $p_j^r$  be the highest power of  $p_j$  dividing the period m of f. According to the Theorem 7,  $f_j$  is  $p_j^r$ -periodic. A well known result of algebra gives the existence of  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  verifying  $x = x_j \mod p_j^r$  for all j. It follows that  $f_j(x) = f_j(x_j)$  for all j, i.e.,

$$\sum_j y_j = \sum_j f_j(x_j) = \sum_j f_j(x) = f(x) \in f(\mathbb{Z}) \,.$$

**THEOREM 21.** For any subset  $M \subset \mathbb{Z}_n$  it does exist an element  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  and a reproducible sequence f such that  $f(\mathbb{Z}) = u + M$ .

Proof. It does exist an integer m such that  $m \wedge n = 1$  and the m-periodic sequence g such that  $g(\mathbb{Z}) = M$ . Since  $m \wedge n = 1$ , the inverse  $m^{-1}$  of m mod n does exist. We set  $u = -m^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} g(i)$  and f(x) = u + g(x). It follows that  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f(i) = 0$ , which gives the reproducibility of f due to the Corollary 18.

**Remark 2.** Generally, it does not exist a reproducible sequence f such that  $f(\mathbb{Z}) = M$ . For example, take  $M = \{3,9\} \subset \{0,3,6,9\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ . By supposing that a reproducible f may exist such that  $f(\mathbb{Z}) = M$ , let  $m = 2^r d$  be the period of f, where d is odd. By Theorem 14 one has  $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} f(2^r i) = 0$ . Since  $f(2^r i) \in \{3,9\}$ , it follows that some integers  $k_1, k_2$  must exist such that  $3k_1 + 9k_2 = 0 \mod 12$ ,  $k_1 + k_2 = d$ . This means that

$$\begin{aligned} 3k_1 + 9 (d - k_1) &= 0 \mod 12 \\ 6k_1 + 9d &= 0 \mod 12 \\ 2 \cdot 9d &= -2 \cdot 6k_1 &= 0 \mod 12 \\ 3d &= 0 \mod 2 . \end{aligned}$$

But because of the oddness of d one would conclude that  $3 = 0 \mod 2$ , which is absurd.

EXAMPLE 6. Modal classes of sets of values of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ -valued reducible sequences

$\{0\}$	+	$\{0\}$	=	$\left\{ 0 ight\} ,$
$\{0\}$	+	$\{6,6\}$	=	$\left\{ 6,6 ight\} ,$
$\{0\}$	+	$\{9,3\}$	=	$\left\{9,3 ight\},$
$\{0\}$	+	$\{6,3,3\}$	=	$\left\{ 6,3,3 ight\} ,$
$\{0\}$	+	$\{3,3,3,3\}$	=	$\left\{3,3,3,3 ight\},$
$\{8, 4\}$	+	$\{0\}$	=	$\left\{ 8,4 ight\} ,$
$\{8, 4\}$	+	$\{6,6\}$	=	$\{4,2,4,2\},$
$\{8, 4\}$	+	$\{9,3\}$	=	$\left\{5,3,1,3 ight\},$
$\{8, 4\}$	+	$\{6,3,3\}$	=	$\{1,2,1,3,2,3\},$
$\{8, 4\}$	+	$\{3,3,3,3\}$	=	$\{1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2\},\$
$\{4, 4, 4\}$	+	$\{0\}$	=	$\left\{ 4,4,4 ight\} ,$
$\{4, 4, 4\}$	+	$\{6,6\}$	=	$\{2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2\}$ ,
$\{4, 4, 4\}$	+	$\{9,3\}$	=	$\left\{ 3,1,3,1,3,1,3,1 ight\} ,$
$\{4, 4, 4\}$	+	$\{6,3,3\}$	=	$\{1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2\},\$
$\{4, 4, 4\}$	+	$\{3,3,3,3\}$	=	$\{1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1\}$ .

### 8. The reproducibility of rarefied and repeating sequences

Let f be a periodic  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -valued sequence and let d be a positive integer. **DEFINITION 6.** The *rarefied sequence*  $f_{rar}$  obtained from the sequence f is given by the following relation:

$$f_{\operatorname{rar}}(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} f\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) & \text{if } x = 0 \mod d \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \mod d \end{array} \right.$$

The integer d is called the *factor of insertion*.

**DEFINITION 7.** The repeating sequence obtained from f is the sequence  $f_{\rm rpt}$  defined by

$$f_{\rm rpt}(x) = f\left(\left[\frac{x}{d}\right]\right)$$

where [r] means the highest integer  $\leq r$ . The integer d is called the *factor of repetition*.

We will write  $f_{\mathrm{rar},d}$  and  $f_{\mathrm{rpt},d}$  when we want to make evident the presence of the factor d. It follows that

$$\begin{split} f_{\mathrm{rar},d_{1}d_{2}} &= (f_{\mathrm{rar},d_{1}})_{\mathrm{rar},d_{2}} , \\ f_{\mathrm{rpt},d_{1}d_{2}} &= (f_{\mathrm{rpt},d_{1}})_{\mathrm{rpt},d_{2}} . \end{split} \tag{1}$$

**Problem.** Find the relationship between the reproducibility of f,  $f_{\rm rar}$  and  $f_{\rm rpt}$ .

LEMMA 22.

$$f_{\rm rpt} = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} T^i f_{\rm rar} \,. \label{eq:frpt}$$

P r o o f . This result is a trivial consequence of the definition of rarefied and repeating sequences.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**DEFINITION 8.** A sequence f is called d-reproducible if  $(T^d - 1)^m f = 0$  for an integer  $m \ge 1$ .

**LEMMA 23.** If f is a d-reproducible sequence then f is reproducible.

Proof. Let f be d-reproducible. We write down the decomposition

$$f = f_{\rm red} + f_{\rm rep} \,, \qquad f_{\rm red} \in {\rm Red} \,, \; f_{\rm rep} \in {\rm Rep}$$

It follows that  $(T^d-1)^m f = f$  and  $(T-1)^m f_{\rm red} = D^m f_{\rm red} = 0$ . According to the identity

$$T^{d} - 1 = P(T)(T - 1), \qquad P(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} T^{i},$$
 (2)

one has that

$$f = (T^{d} - 1)^{m} f = P(T)^{m} (T - 1)^{m} f$$
  
=  $P(T)^{m} (T - 1)^{m} f_{red} + P(T)^{m} (T - 1)^{m} f_{rep}$   
=  $P(T)^{m} (T - 1)^{m} f_{rep} \in \text{Rep}$ .

**THEOREM 24.** The reproducibility of f is equivalent to that of  $f_{rar}$ .

Proof. If f is reproducible, the relation

$$(T^d - 1)f_{\rm rar} = \left((T - 1)f\right)_{\rm rar}$$

shows that  $f_{\rm rar}$  is *d*-reproducible, i.e., reproducible by the Lemma 23.

Now let suppose that  $f_{rar}$  is reproducible. Then  $f = \sum_j f_j$  is the decomposition of f corresponding to the decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  in p-groups. Since the decomposition of  $f_{rar}$  is  $\sum_j (f_j)_{rar}$ , it is enough to consider the case where  $n = p^k$ , p prime. According to (1), we do not loose generality by taking d which is prime. By writing down the decomposition

$$\begin{split} f &= f_{\mathrm{red}} + f_{\mathrm{rep}} \,, \qquad f_{\mathrm{red}} \in \mathrm{Red} \,, \; f_{\mathrm{rep}} \in \mathrm{Rep} \,, \\ f_{\mathrm{rar}} &= (f_{\mathrm{red}})_{\mathrm{rar}} + (f_{\mathrm{rep}})_{\mathrm{rar}} \,. \end{split}$$

one has that  $f_{rar} \in \text{Rep}$  and  $(f_{rep})_{rar} \in \text{Rep}$  because of i), i.e.,  $(f_{red})_{rar} \in \text{Rep}$ and one is led to show that if  $f \in \text{Red}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k})$  and  $f_{rar} \in \text{Rep}$ , then f = 0. Now, since f is reducible, it has to be  $p^m$ -periodic. Therefore  $f_{rar}$  is  $dp^m$ -periodic. If d = p then  $f_{rar}$  is reducible too, i.e.,  $f_{rar} \in \text{Rep} \cap \text{Red} = \{0\}$  which means that f = 0. If  $d \neq p$ , the reproducibility of  $f_{rar}$  is expressed by the relations

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} f_{\rm rar}(ip^m + j) = 0, \qquad 0 \le j < p^m.$$
(3)

The only terms different from zero in the left side of (3) are those for which

$$ip^m + j = 0 \mod d. \tag{4}$$

Since  $d \wedge p = 1$ , the equation (4) in the variable *i* has an unique solution i(j) for all *j*. It follows that in the left part of (3), just one term is zero, i.e.,

$$f_{\mathrm{rar}}(i(j)p^m+j) = f\left(\frac{i(j)p^m+j}{d}\right)$$

This means that

$$f\left(\frac{i(j)p^m + j}{d}\right) = 0, \qquad 0 \le j < p^m.$$
(5)

The numbers  $(i(j)p^m + j)/d$ ,  $0 \le j < p^m$ , form a fundamental system of classes mod  $p^m$ . In fact, if

$$\frac{i(j_1)p^m + j_1}{d} = \frac{i(j_2)p^m + j_2}{d} \mod p^m,$$

then

$$i(j_1)p^m + j_1 = i(j_2)p^m + j_2 \mod p^m,$$

i.e.,  $j_1 = j_2 \mod p^m$  which means that  $j_1 = j_2$ . According to (5) and to the  $p^m$ -periodicity of f, one obtains f = 0.

**LEMMA 25.** If  $d \wedge n = 1$  and if  $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} T^i f$  is reproducible then f is reproducible.

Proof. Let's write down the decomposition

$$\begin{split} f &= f_{\rm red} + f_{\rm rep} \,, \qquad f_{\rm red} \in {\rm Red} \,, \ f_{\rm rep} \, \in {\rm Rep} \,, \\ P(T)f &= P(T)f_{\rm red} + P(T)f_{\rm rep} \,, \end{split}$$

where P(T) is given by (2). Since  $P(T)f \in \text{Rep}$  (by hypothesis) and also  $P(T)f_{\text{rep}} \in \text{Rep}$ , one has  $P(T)f_{\text{red}} \in \text{Red} \cap \text{Rep} = \{0\}$ . This leads to the relation

$$(T^d - 1)f_{\rm red} = (T - 1)P(T)f_{\rm red} = 0$$
,

which means that  $f_{\text{red}}$  is *d*-periodic. Since  $f_{\text{red}}$  is reducible, it is also *m*-periodic where *m* is an integer that divides *n*. This means that *f* is  $d \wedge m$ -periodic, which gives the 1-periodicity, i.e., *f* is a constant. In conclusion

$$\begin{split} f &= z + f_{\mathrm{rep}} \,, \qquad z \in \mathbb{Z}_n \,, \ f_{\mathrm{rep}} \in \mathrm{Rep} \,, \\ P(T) f &= dz + P(T) f_{\mathrm{rep}} \,. \end{split}$$

By using the same argument  $dz \in \text{Red} \cap \text{Rep} = \{0\}$ , i.e., z = 0 since the multiplication by d is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

**THEOREM 26.** The reproducibility of f is equivalent to that of  $f_{rpt}$ .

Proof. If f is reproducible, then (Theorem 24)  $f_{\rm rar}$  is reproducible, which means that (Lemma 22)  $f_{\rm rpt}$  is reproducible, too.

Let's now suppose that  $f_{rpt}$  is reproducible. As in the proof of Theorem 24 one may just consider the case where f takes its values in a p- group and d is prime. If d = p one uses the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 24. If  $d \neq p$ , then (Lemmas 22 and 25)  $f_{rar}$  is reproducible, which leads to the conclusion that (Theorem 24) f is reproducible too.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ANDREATTA, M.: Group-theoretical Methods applied to Music, unpublished Dissertation, University of Sussex, 1997.
- [2] BALZANO, G.: The group-theoretic description of 12-fold and microtonal pitch systems, Computer Music J. 4 (1980), 66-84.
- [3] BUDDEN, F. J.: The Fascination of Groups, Cambridge University Press, 1972.
- [4] CHEMILLIER, M.: Structure et Méthode algébriques en informatique musicale, (Thèse de doctorat), L. I. T. P., Institut Blaise Pascal (1990).
- [5] HALSEY, D.—HEWITT, E.: Eine gruppentheoretische Methode in der Musik-theorie, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 80 (1978), 151-207.

- [6] LEWIN, D.: Generalized Musical Intervals and Transformations, Yale University Press, 1987.
- [7] MAZZOLA, G. et. al.: The Topos of Music—Geometric Logic of Concepts, Theory and Performance, Birkäuser (to appear)2001.
- [8] MAZZOLA, G.: A Symmetry-Oriented Mathematical Model of Classical Counterpoint and Related Neurophysiological Investigations by Depth-EEG, Dans Symmetry II, CAMWA, Pergamon Press, 1989.
- STEIN, S. K.—SZABÓ, S.: Algebra and Tiling, The Carus Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 25, AMS, Providence, 1994.
- [10] VIERU, A.: Cartea modurilor, 1 (Le livre des modes, 1) (Muzicala, Bucarest, 1980, ed.), Revised ed. The book of modes, 1993.
- [11] VUZA, D. T.: Some Mathematical Aspects of David Lewin's Book Generalized Musical Intervals and Transformations, Perspectives of New Music 26 (1988), 258-287.
- [12] VUZA, D. T.: Supplementary Sets Theory and Algorithms, Muzica 1 (1995), 75-99.
- [13] VUZA, D. T.: Aspects mathématiques dans la théorie modale d'Anatol Vieru, Parts 1-4, Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées 27, (1982) n. 2 et 10, (1983) n. 7 et 8.

Received February 1, 2001

Moreno Andreatta Equipe Représentations Musicales Ircam/CNRS — Centre G. Pompidou Paris FRANCE

E-mail: Moreno.Andreatta@ircam.fr

Dan T. Vuza Department of Mathematics University of Bucharest Bucharest ROMANIA E-mail: Dan.Vuza@imar.ro